SECRET '

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000800010003-74-5-13 27

75 11, 534

3 0 SEP 1975

The Honorable George H. Mahon Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairmen:

I have received your letter of September 25, 1975, to Mr. Lynn covering the House Appropriations Committee action on the Intelligence Community budget request for Fiscal Year 1976 and the Transition Quarter. In a separate letter I have set forth some observations on the everall recommendation as it applies to the Intelligence Community. The this letter I would like to note three or four points about the Committee report which impact on the budget request for the Central Intelligence Agency.

First, I would like to compliment the Committee on the review conducted. The formal hearings and subsequent examination of the Cappropriate were both comprehensive and detailed. Judging from the product of this review. I believe we provided the Committee with the information it needed to carry out its responsibilities and that the bases points we attempted to convey were well understood. I have certain detailed observations about the Committee's recommendations, however, which I believe I should share with you. In some cases these will the basis for an appeal I will shortly be making with the Senate Committee on Appropriations.

First, with respect to the deletion of I am aware that the SAFE system is expensive and that certain risks attend its development. At the same time, however, I believe that the

25X1

costs and risks are nore than balanced by the potential long-term giller to be achieved by the system. We in the Intelligence Community has been criticized, justifiably I believe, for allocating a disproportional portion of our energies to harmesting technology in support of our collection activities while ignoring what that same technology might defor the production analyst. The SAFE program represents an attempt to right this imbalance. Recognizing that we are already partly through this fiscal year, however, I believe that the provision of 1976 for this project would adequately provide for our needs, and I will so recommend to the Senate.]25X1
Second, concerning reduction in the program to the Office of Development and Engineering. I fully understand your dealer to limit increases for new starts in research and development during this period of uncertainty. However, the full amount have proposal is necessary to bring up-to-date technological support of our chandral to service which, as you are aware, is increasingly successful in correcting agents in areas important to our national security.	25X1
With respect to the funding I shall address this rection separately since I believe the existing arrangements for managing and funding this national activity should be retained. Finally, with respect to the use of the Reserve to supplement the large appropriation, developments since the Subcommittee's original	25X1
consideration of the Agency's 1976 budget request have low red the	
Reserve to Those	25X1
developments include three Reserve releases	25X1
of which the Committee is aware. It was for this reason that we sould approval of the Office of Management and Budget for an approval to	20/(1
our pending request	25X1
the timing of this from the point of view of the Committee's delileret tells	
was unfortunate, the fist-breaking developments in the world elimatics	
which necessitated this request were not of our making. We therefore had little choice but to request these funds.	
I will therefore in my appeal to the Senate ask that considerative be given to appropriation of the full amount requested for Reserve replanishment.	

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 CARIP 0M01133A000800010003-7

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

This point gives rise to another. In computing the reduction for	
the Transition Quarter, the Committee provided a Transition Quarter	
budget ofin appropriations recommended.	
The 1976 program level recommended for CIA	25X1
reflecting .	25X1
In order not to unduly disrupt vital on-going progress during the linear	
tion Quarter period, our request to the Senate Committee on Appropriations	
for the Transition Quarter will seek retention	25X1
There are three norther points on which I would like to comment.	
I note that the Cammittee is asking its Surveys and investigations	
Staff to study the possibility of transferring	25X1
SIGINT program to the Consolidated Cryptologic Program. This study	,
will make a worthwhile contribution to our joint understanding of the	
historical reasons for CIA participation in SIGINT. I myself believe that	
it will also raise compelling arguments for continued CIA participation.	
The Committee has further recommended a study	25X1
with the possibility of either transferring the major part of	
this function	25X1
It is my view that Agency me	
secure communications are vital to the conduct of clandestine activities.	
I believe also that our overseas communication activities are so coming ad	
	25X1
Finally, I find that the Committee has accepted our estimate of the reduction we can afford in Southeast Asia but has not similarly accepted our modest proposal to use some of these savings in the pursuit of seme	
equally unexpected developments in other areas. I refer specifically to the necessity of pursuing our collection against	25X1

25X1

selected photography electrically in the Washington area and the need to improve the deteriorating physical environment in which our computers must operate. I agree that there was not time for a full review of such unexpected requirements either with CMB or with the Congress. However, in discussing our appropriation with the Senate, I do intend to use such examples to press our appeal.

Respectfully,

W. E. Colby

W. E. Colby Director

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

 $\tilde{1}$ - OMB (Mr. Oliver)

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - D/DCI/IC

1 - OLC

1 - DDO

1 - DDI

1 - DDS&T

1 - DDA